UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,114	09/24/2003	Akihiko Mochida	17049	7178
	7590 12/23/200 ГТ MURPHY & PRES	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN ( SUITE 300		CZEKAJ, DAVID J		
GARDEN CITY	Y, NY 11530	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ар	plication No.	ion No. Applicant(s)				
		10	)/670,114	MOCHIDA ET	MOCHIDA ET AL.			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
			VID CZEKAJ	2621				
<i>T</i> Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communi eply	cation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	e address			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INC. IN THE METERS IN LONGER, FROM THE METERS IN THE METERS	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will app will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may oly and will expire SIX (6) Me the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of t ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	d on 22 Sente	mher 2008					
·			on is non-final.					
<i>′</i> —		<i>′</i> —		atters prosecution as to	the merits is			
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	·	·	•	,				
		in the applica	tion					
•	Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	aim(s) is/are allowed.	C Witharawii ii	om consideration.					
·	aim(s) <u> </u>							
·	aim(s) <u>5,0 and 0-11</u> is/are rejected aim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
•	aim(s) is/are objected to: aim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	ction requirement					
0)[0	airi(s) are subject to restric	don and/or ele	clion requirement.					
Application	Papers							
9) <u></u> Th∈	specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) <u></u> Th∈	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accepte	d or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Ар	plicant may not request that any objec	ction to the draw	ing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).			
Re	placement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 3	7 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 5-6 and 8-11 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as set forth below.

On page 8, applicant argues that Karasawa fails to disclose an image pickup system comprising a drive circuit. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Karasawa figure 2. There Karasawa discloses that the CCD drive circuit 25a is part of the image pickup system enclosed in the scope processor 5a. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

On page 10, applicant argues that Kimura fails to disclose the reading signal generating circuit is provided in the image pickup system. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Kimura figure 1. There Kimura illustrates a reading signal generating circuit being part of the image pickup system. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

On page 10, applicant argues that Takahashi fails to disclose a frequency dividing circuit is within an image pickup system. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Takahashi figure 7. There Takahashi discloses a frequency dividing circuit 50, being part of block 42. As seen in Takahashi figure 2, block 42 is part of the image pickup system. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,114 Page 3

Art Unit: 2621

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karasawa (US 5,196,928) in view of Kimura et al. (4816909), (hereinafter referred to as "Kimura") in further view of Takahashi et al. (6466256), (hereinafter referred to as "Takahashi").

Regarding claims 8-10, Karasawa discloses "an image pickup system for capturing the image of a subject" (Karasawa: figure 1), "an image pickup element with one image-capture surface constructed with scanning lines and driven by a pickup drive signal, wherein each of the scanning lines comprise a first number of pixels" (Karasawa: Column 3, Lines 38-42), "a drive circuit for generating and output the drive signal comprising a first frequency" (Karasawa: Column 3, Lines 47-65), "a line memory which can store one line of signals read from pickup element" (Karasawa: Column 3, Lines 47-65), "performing video signal processing on the signals stored in the line memory" (Karasawa: Column 3, lines 35-47; column 4, lines 29-47), and "a writing signal for writing to the line memory" (Karasawa: column 3, lines 45-51). However, Karasawa fails to disclose reading a signal with a second frequency which is higher than the first frequency and the frequency dividing circuit as claimed. Kimura teaches that prior art endoscope systems must have many picture elements in a small device

Art Unit: 2621

(Kimura: column 1, lines 28-33). To help alleviate this problem, Kimura discloses "a drive signal comprising a first frequency based on the first number of pixels" (Kimura: column 4, lines 25-40) and "reading a signal with a second frequency which is higher than the first write frequency" (Kimura: column 6, line 53 - column 7, line 32. The examiner notes that read signals must have a higher frequency the write signals). Takahashi teaches that feeding a digital signal to a remote peripheral is not expedient (Takahashi: column 2, lines 25-26). To help alleviate this problem, Takahashi discloses an apparatus comprising an "oscillator for generating a clock signal having a preset frequency, the oscillator is provided in a camera control unit to which the endoscope is removably connected" (Takahashi: column 9, line 57 – column 10, line 5; column 7, lines 5-7, wherein the oscillator is part of the video processor which is connectable to the endoscope) and "a frequency dividing circuit which divides the clock signal to generate a signal for the drive circuit" (Takahashi: column 10, lines 1-5, wherein the frequency dividing circuit is the frequency demultiplier). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Karasawa, add the different frequencies taught by Kimura, and add the processing taught by Takahashi in order to obtain an apparatus that can accurately adjust a clock signal to correctly display a video signal.

Regarding claim 5, most of the limitations of the claim have been discussed in the above rejection of claim 10. Karasawa also teaches of the video signal processing means has an enlarge/reduce processing function for performing horizontal enlargement or reduction (Karasawa: Column 5, Lines 1-8) and Takahashi teaches the

Art Unit: 2621

reduction is based on a ratio between the first and second frequency (Takahashi: column 10, lines 35-49).

Regarding claim 6, although not disclosed, it would have been obvious to superimpose an input image with the captured image (Official Notice). Doing so would have been obvious in order more easily provide information to a user.

Regarding claim 11, most of the limitations of the claim have been discussed in the above rejection of claim 10. Karasawa also teaches of adding a second image pickup unit, which shows greater detail than the first but with all the circuitry mentioned above (Karasawa: Column 2, Lines 58-68).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID CZEKAJ whose telephone number is (571)272-7327. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,114 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dave Czekaj/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621